

Date: Sun, 16 Oct 94 04:30:26 PDT
From: Ham-Space Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-space@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Space-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Space@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: List
Subject: Ham-Space Digest V94 #292
To: Ham-Space

Ham-Space Digest Sun, 16 Oct 94 Volume 94 : Issue 292

Today's Topics:

 Ceti Transmission '76
 LL Grace??? (WAS: Re: Doppler problems in WinTrak 2.0
 RS birds usual down link

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Space@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Space-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Space Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-space".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Fri, 14 Oct 1994 22:14:28 GMT
From: MichaelMK@infoport.com
Subject: Ceti Transmission '76

Shelley,

A while back, I posted a message in this forum regarding the availability,
for downloading, of data from the SETI project. Unfortunately, I was never
able to confirm whether there were any responses to the posting. Do you have
any leads?

Thanks,
MichaelMK
Denver, CO

 Message sent from InfoPort Online Service
 Denver, Colorado USA (303) 429-0291 or telnet infoport.com
 A full service bulletin board using NovaLink Professional Software

Date: 14 Oct 1994 20:36:36 GMT
From: plaws@comp.uark.edu (Peter Laws)
Subject: LL Grace??? (WAS: Re: Doppler problems in WinTrak 2.0)

ggs@eule.lanl.gov writes:

>I was interested in this program when it first came out so I called
>the author to inquire about some details. I use a Kansas City Tracker
>Tuner card to run things at the shack so I asked if he supported that
>card. He said not only did he not support it but the KCT people were
>out of business. This is news to L.L. Grace they think they are still
>in business. Agreed there are some newer way to run your AZ/EL rotors
>I think KCT must still be the most prolific system right now. He mostly
>supports some parallel port box (can't remember which). Seems to me
>this guy is not a player in the satellite game, a view supported by your
>experience.

>Enough pontificating what are you to do?

>

>Call AMSAT at 301-589-6062 they have a large selection of software all
>of which WORKS get WISP if you want to use windows and forget WinTrak
>as I have.

LL Grace is out of business according to the WinTrak guy? Wow. Then who
did we buy the KCT/T from last May?

Stick with Instant Track!! (how many v1.0's do *you* know that work so
well???)

Peter Laws<plaws@comp.uark.edu>|"Suppose you were a politician. Now suppose you
n5uwy@ka5bml.#nwar.ar.usa.noam |were an idiot. Ah, but I repeat myself."-Twain

Date: 15 Oct 1994 14:33:14 GMT
From: brucerob@blues.epas.utoronto.ca (Bruce Robertson)
Subject: RS birds usual down link

I'm attracted to the RS series of birds as a first foray into
satellite work. In particular, the 10m downlink seems very practical
at this point in the sun spot cycle. I have, then, a few questions for
the experienced:

1. I'm thinking of homebrewing my transmitter. Is the uplink usually

21 MHz, 144 MHz or evenly split between them?

2. What sort of power is practical for casual use of these birds?
I have heard of qsos with 2w in a dipole; is that remarkable or par
for the course?

3. Has anyone any experience using mobile whips (argh) as antennae for
this application?

Thanks in advance, VE3UWL

Bruce G. Robertson

--

Bruce G. Robertson Dept. of Classics, U. of T.

End of Ham-Space Digest V94 #292
